

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Marlena Barton,

4 Plaintiff,

5 vs.

6 Sunbeam Products, Inc., et al.,

7 Defendants.

2:25-cv-00156-CDS-MDC

ORDER DENYING STIPULATION

8 **IT IS ORDERED** that the *Stipulated Protective Order* (ECF No. 37) is **DENIED**. The parties
9 again fail to address the Court's Order at ECF No. 34. That order advised the parties that filing
10 documents under seal not only requires the parties to follow the procedures set forth at Fed. R. Civ. P.
11 5.2 and LR IA 10-5, but to also make substantive showing under *Kamakana v. City and County of*
12 *Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178- 79 (9th Cir. 2006) and its progeny. The parties, however, continue to
13 submit a stipulation that is not compliant. To be more explicit, the parties must please revise Paragraph
14 10 to include the requirements under FRCP 5.2 and to make a substantive showing under *Kamakana v.*
15 *City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178- 79 (9th Cir. 2006) and its progeny. The parties are
16 granted leave and are requested to submit an amended stipulation by **May 30, 2025**.

17
18 DATED this 27th day of May 2025.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20
21 
22 Hon. Maximiliano D. Couvillier III
23 United States Magistrate Judge
24
25